Linear metric perturbations in near-horizon extremal Kerr

Baoyi Chen and Leo C. Stein

TAPIR, Caltech



33rd PCGM, March. 10, 2017

Linearized gravity

$$g_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{\mu\nu} + h_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{\mu\nu} + h^{(1)}_{\mu\nu} + \mathcal{O}(h^2)$$

Applications

- Dynamics of spacetime within or beyond GR e.g. stability of black hole
- Weak-field experimental tests of GR e.g. gravitational radiation

Linearized gravity

$$g_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{\mu\nu} + h_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{\mu\nu} + h^{(1)}_{\mu\nu} + \mathcal{O}(h^2)$$

Applications

- Dynamics of spacetime within or beyond GR e.g. stability of black hole
- Weak-field experimental tests of GR e.g. gravitational radiation

The common tool is linearized Einstein field equation (LEE)

$$G^{(1)}_{\mu\nu}[h^{(1)}] = 8\pi T_{\mu\nu}$$

Hard to solve
$$\implies$$
 need separation of variables

Teukolsky's method

- Newman-Penrose formalism \Longrightarrow perturbation equations for Ψ_4 or Ψ_0
- One master to rule them all (scalar, vector, tensor, spinor)
- Separation of variables \checkmark

from curvature to metric

- Metric reconstruction by Chandrasekhar
 - "The procedure is so complicated that it does not seem to have been used, at least in its entirety, in any application." Saul Teukolsky
- Hertz potentials
 - must be performed case by case
 - restricted to radiation gauge \Longrightarrow singularities in presence of source

Complicated!

Go back to Schwarzschild

$$ds^{2} = -(1 - \frac{2M}{r})dt^{2} + (1 - \frac{2M}{r})^{-1}dr^{2} + r^{2}(d\theta^{2} + \sin^{2}\theta d\phi^{2})$$

Symmetry

- Static \implies time translation symmetry
- Spherical \implies rotation symmetry

Go back to Schwarzschild

$$\mathcal{M}^4 = \mathcal{M}^2 \times S^2$$

"2 + 2"-decomposition of the spacetime

- Scalar basis of SO(3) acting on S^2 are just $Y_{lm}(\theta,\phi)$
- Vector basis $D_a Y_{lm}$, $\varepsilon_a{}^b D_b Y_{lm}$
- Tensor basis $D_{\langle a}D_{b\rangle}Y_{lm}$, $\Omega_{ab}Y_{lm}$, $\varepsilon_{\langle a}{}^cD_{b\rangle}D_cY_{lm}$
- Separation of variables \checkmark

Question: Extended to Kerr?

Go back to Schwarzschild

$$\mathcal{M}^4 = \mathcal{M}^2 \times S^2$$

"2 + 2"-decomposition of the spacetime

- Scalar basis of SO(3) acting on S^2 are just $Y_{lm}(\theta,\phi)$
- Vector basis $D_a Y_{lm}$, $\varepsilon_a{}^b D_b Y_{lm}$
- Tensor basis $D_{\langle a}D_{b\rangle}Y_{lm}$, $\Omega_{ab}Y_{lm}$, $\varepsilon_{\langle a}{}^cD_{b\rangle}D_cY_{lm}$
- Separation of variables \checkmark

Question: Extended to Kerr?

Answer: Yes, but not for any Kerr.

Near-horizon extremal Kerr (NHEK)

Extremal condition

a = M

- Near-horizon limit
 - rescale the BL coordinates t, r, ϕ

$$\frac{t}{M} = \frac{2T}{\lambda}, \quad \frac{r}{M} = 1 + \lambda R, \quad \phi = \Phi + \frac{t}{2M}.$$

- take the limit $\lambda \to 0$



• Two additional Killing vectors



Extended isometry group

 $SL(2,\mathbb{R}) \times U(1)$

- $\{Q = \partial_{\Phi}\}$ generates U(1)
- $\{H_0, H_+, H_-\}$ generates $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$
- The Casimir element

$$\Omega = H_0(H_0 - 1) - H_- H_+$$

• Generators independent of $u(=\cos\theta) \Longrightarrow$ Isometry acts on *u*-slices

Schwarzschild

- "2+2"-decomposition
- SO(3) acting on S^2
- 1 scalar, 2 vector, 3 tensor basis
- Y_{lm} , $D_a Y_{lm}$, etc.

NHEK

- "3+1"-decomposition
- $SL(2,\mathbb{R}) \times U(1)$ acting on M^3
- 1 scalar, 3 vector, 6 tensor basis

• ?

The highest-weight method

- Simultaneous diagonalization of $\{Q, \Omega, \mathcal{L}_{H_0}\}$
- Label the states by $\boldsymbol{m},\boldsymbol{h},\boldsymbol{k}$
- The highest weight (k=0) annihilated by \mathcal{L}_{H_+}
- All other basis obtained by applying \mathcal{L}_{H_-} for k times

• k = 0 (Highest)

 $F\propto \,R^{h}e^{im\Phi}$

• k = 1

$$F \propto -2R^{h-1}e^{im\Phi}(hRT + im)$$

• k = 2

$$F \propto -2R^{h-2}e^{im\Phi} \left(-2i(2h-1)mRT + h(1-2h)R^2T^2 + h + 2m^2 \right)$$

Separation of variables

$$\Box \psi = \frac{1}{2M^2\Gamma} \left(\Omega \, \psi + \frac{(u^4 + 6u^2 - 3)}{4(1 - u^2)} \mathcal{L}_Q^2 \psi + \mathcal{L}_{\partial_u} \left[(1 - u^2) \mathcal{L}_{\partial_u} \psi \right] \right)$$

- Separation of variables \checkmark
- T, R, Φ -pieces determined by symmetry, only *u*-dependence unknown
- u-dependence for free wave are spheroidal harmonics

Linearized Einstein equation

$$G^{(1)}h_{ab} = \overset{\leftrightarrow}{M}(T,R) \overset{\leftrightarrow}{D}_{u}^{2}[u]e^{im\Phi}$$

- Separation of variables \checkmark
- T, R, Φ -pieces determined by symmetry
- $\overset{\leftrightarrow}{D}_{u}^{2}[u] \equiv (\overset{\leftrightarrow}{A}\partial_{u}^{2} + \overset{\leftrightarrow}{B}\partial_{u} + \overset{\leftrightarrow}{C})\vec{V}(u)$
- LEE \implies 10 ODEs for the polar angle $(u = \cos \theta)!$

- Highest weight method \Longrightarrow separation of variables \checkmark
- Metric perturbation instead of curvature perturbation \checkmark
- Analytical solutions to LEE with source (e.g. dCS, EDGB) \checkmark
- Could be extended to near-extremal Kerr (future work)

- Highest weight method \Longrightarrow separation of variables \checkmark
- Metric perturbation instead of curvature perturbation \checkmark
- Analytical solutions to LEE with source (e.g. dCS, EDGB) \checkmark
- Could be extended to near-extremal Kerr (future work)

The End. Thank you.

*Additional slides

The NHEK limit

Kerr metric In Boyer-Lindquist (BL) coordinates t, r, θ, ϕ

$$ds^{2} = -\frac{\Delta}{\Sigma}(dt - a\sin^{2}\theta \,d\phi)^{2} + \frac{\Sigma}{\Delta}dr^{2} + \Sigma \,d\theta^{2} + \frac{\sin^{2}\theta}{\Sigma}\left[(r^{2} + a^{2})\,d\phi - a\,dt\right]^{2}$$

The NHEK metric in the Poincaré coordinates $T, \Phi, R, u (= \cos \theta)$

$$ds^{2} = 2M^{2}\Gamma\left[-R^{2} dT^{2} + \frac{1}{R^{2}} dR^{2} + \frac{1}{1-u^{2}} du^{2} + \Lambda^{2} (d\Phi + R dT)^{2}\right]$$

$$\Gamma(u)=(1+u^2)/2 \quad \text{ and } \quad \Lambda(u)=2\sqrt{1-u^2}/(1+u^2)$$

• Casimir element in the space of vector functions

$$\Omega = \mathcal{L}_{H_0}(\mathcal{L}_{H_0} - 1) - \mathcal{L}_{H_-}\mathcal{L}_{H_+}$$

- Operators ⇒ Lie derivatives along K.Vs
- Three independent vector basis for each weight
- The general vector basis

$$V^{(m\,h\,k)} = \mathcal{L}_{H_{-}}^{k} \left((C_{T}R^{h-1}\hat{e}_{T} + C_{\Phi}R^{h}\hat{e}_{\Phi} + C_{R}R^{h+1}\hat{e}_{R}) e^{im\Phi} \right)$$

- Solve the same set of eqs as for vector cases
- Six independent tensor basis for each weight
- The general tensor basis

$$T_{AB}^{(m\,h\,k)} = \mathcal{L}_{H_{-}}^{k} \begin{pmatrix} C_{TT}R^{h+2} & C_{T\Phi}R^{h+1} & C_{TR}R^{h} \\ * & C_{\Phi\Phi}R^{h} & C_{\Phi R}R^{h-1} \\ * & * & C_{RR}R^{h-2} \end{pmatrix} e^{im\Phi}$$

Maxwell system

• Choose the three vector basis

 $V_{1a}^{(m,h,0)} = R^{h+1}dT$ $V_{2a}^{(m,h,0)} = R^{h}d\Phi$ $V_{3a}^{(m,h,0)} = R^{h-1}dR$

• LHS of Maxwell equation for the highest weight

$$(\nabla_a \mathcal{F}^{ab})_{k=0} = \frac{1}{M^4} \left(G_0(u) n_a F^{(m,h,0)} + G_1(u) V_1{}_a^{(m,h,0)} + G_2(u) V_2{}_a^{(m,h,0)} + G_3(u) V_3{}_a^{(m,h,0)} \right)$$

· Assume completeness, variable separate for each weight

Linearized Einstein equation

• Choose the six tensor basis

$$W_{1ab}^{(m,h,0)} = R^{h+2}dT \otimes dT$$
$$W_{2ab}^{(m,h,0)} = R^{h+1}dT \otimes d\Phi$$
$$W_{3ab}^{(m,h,0)} = R^{h}dT \otimes dR$$
$$W_{4ab}^{(m,h,0)} = R^{h}d\Phi \otimes d\Phi$$
$$W_{5ab}^{(m,h,0)} = R^{h-1}d\Phi \otimes dR$$
$$W_{6ab}^{(m,h,0)} = R^{h-2}dR \otimes dR$$

• Assume completeness, decompose the metric perturbation

$$h_{ab} = \sum_{m,h,k} h_{ab}^{(m\,h\,k)} = \sum_{m,h,k} \left(n_a n_b F^{(m\,h\,k)} f(u) + \sum_{i=1}^3 n_{\{a} V_{ib\}}^{(m\,h\,k)} v_i(u) + \sum_{j=1}^6 W_{jab}^{(m\,h\,k)} t_j(u) \right)$$